CS 170 Efficient Algorithms and Intractable Problems

Lecture 12 Dynamic Programming II

Nika Haghtalab and John Wright

EECS, UC Berkeley

Announcements

Midterm 1 grade are out! → Midterm regrade requests are open on Monday

Midsemester feedback form is open
→ Fill out by Monday and you'll get a free homework drop.

Discussions and OH resume as usual this week → As usual, my OH is after class today. Meet outside of the lecture hall.

Recap of the Last Lecture

Dynamic Programming!

The recipe!

Step 1. Identify subproblems (aka optimal substructure)
Step 2. Find a recursive formulation for the subproblems
Step 3. Design the Dynamic Programming Algorithm
→ Memo-ize computation starting from smallest subproblems and building up.

We saw a lot of examples already

- → Fibonacci
- → Shortest Paths with negative edge-weights (in DAGs, Reliable Shortest path, Bellman-Ford)

This lecture

See more dynamic programming examples!

- \rightarrow Shortest Path between all pairs
- \rightarrow Longest increasing subsequence
- \rightarrow Edit distance
- \rightarrow And even more next lecture

Best way to learn dynamic programming is by doing a lot of examples!

By doing more examples today, we will also develop intuition about how to choose subproblems (Recipe's step 1).

Recap: Shortest Path with Negative Weights

- <u>Input:</u> A G = (V, E), "source" $S \in V$, edge costs $\ell(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}$. No negative cycles.
- <u>Output</u>: For all $u \in V$, dist(u) = cost of shortest path from s to u.

If there are no negative cycles, the shortest path from *S* to any node should use at most n - 1 edges.

 \rightarrow This is the same problem statement as "reliable" Shortest Path when the number of edges (*k*) on the path can be as large as you want!

Just run reliable shortest path with k = n - 1

This is called the Bellman-Ford algorithm. Runtime of O(nm).

Bellman-Ford Algorithm

Summary of shortest path algs.

- Breadth First Search
- → Not for weighted graphs. → O(n + m)
- Dijkstra
- → Positive edge weights.
 → $O(m + n \log(n))$
- Bellman-Ford
 → Positive or negative edge weights, as long as no negative cycles.
 → O(nm)

The same implementation as "reliable Discussion 6 shortest path from last l material. Bellman-Ford1(G = (V, E), s) dist[s] = 0 and $dist[u] = \infty$ for all other $u \in V$. For i = 1, ..., n - 1: For $u \in V$: $dist[u] \leftarrow \min\{dist[u],$ $\min_{(v,u)\in E} \{ dist[v] + \ell(v,u) \} \}$

Bellman-Ford2(G = (V, E), s)

dist[s] = 0 and $dist[u] = \infty$ for all other $u \in V$. Same as Dijkstra's

For i = 1, ..., n - 1:

For $(v, u) \in E$:

"Update" Function

 $dist[u] \leftarrow \min\{dist[u], dist[v] + \ell(v, u)\}$

All-Pair Shortest Path Problem

All-Pair Shortest Path (APSP)

We want to know the shortest distance between any pair of nodes in a graph.

- \rightarrow Not just from a special single source.
- \rightarrow Another example of DP!

<u>Input:</u> Graph G = (V, E), edge costs $\ell(u, v)$ for $(u, v) \in E$ (not necessarily positive) <u>Output:</u> For all $u, v \in V$, dist(u, v) = cost of shortest path from u to v

Naïve algorithm:

→ Run Bellman-Ford starting from every $s \in V$ as a source.

- → Bellman-Ford runs in time O(mn)
 - → Total runtime for APSP would be $O(n^2m)$. Could be as large as $O(n^4)$ for dense graphs. We are aiming for $O(n^3)$.

Dynamic Programming Recipe

• Step 1: Identify the subproblems (optimal substructure)

• **Step 2:** Find a recursive formulation for the subproblems

• Step 3: Design the dynamic programming algorithm
 → Fill in a table, starting with the smallest sub-problems and building up.

Identify the subproblems (optimal substructure)

Sub-problem(k): For all pairs $u, v \in V$, find the shortest u-v path whose <u>internal</u> <u>vertices</u> only use nodes $\{1, ..., k\}$.

- \rightarrow Sub-problem (*n*) is the APSP we want to solve.
- \rightarrow This may look unintuitive, but let's see why it's helpful!



This is an overview picture, not all edges are shown.

Dynamic Programming Recipe

• Step 1: Identify the subproblems (optimal substructure)

• **Step 2:** Find a recursive formulation for the subproblems

• Step 3: Design the dynamic programming algorithm
 → Fill in a table, starting with the smallest sub-problems and building up.

Recursive Formulation

How do I solve **sub-problem(k+1)** knowing all the solutions $dist_k(u, v)$ to **Sub-problem(k)**?



Recursive Formulation

How do I solve **sub-problem(k+1)** knowing all the solutions $dist_k(u, v)$ to **Sub-problem(k)**?



Recursive Formulation

How do I solve **sub-problem(k+1)** knowing all the solutions $dist_k(u, v)$ to **Sub-problem(k)**?



Putting the two cases together



Case 1: Shortest u-v path with internal nodes $\{1, ..., k + 1\}$ doesn't use node k + 1:

Case 2: Shortest u-v path with internation nodes $\{1, ..., k + 1\}$ uses node k + 1:

The recursive solution for All-Pair Shortest Path

 $dist_{k+1}(u,v) = \min\{dist_k(u,v), dist_k(u,k+1) + dist_k(k+1,v)\}$

Dynamic Programming Recipe

• Step 1: Identify the subproblems (optimal substructure)

• **Step 2:** Find a recursive formulation for the subproblems

• Step 3: Design the dynamic programming algorithm
 → Fill in a table, starting with the smallest sub-problems and building up.

The Floyd-Washall Algorithm for APSP

<u>Input:</u> Graph G = (V, E), edge costs $\ell(u, v)$ for $(u, v) \in E$ (not necessarily positive) <u>Output:</u> For all $u, v \in V$, dist(u, v) = cost of shortest path from u to v

Each update is just O(1).

The loop over k and u, v repeats $O(n^3)$ times.

Overall, $O(n^3)$ runtime.

Floyd-Warshall (G = (V, E))

 $n \times n$ matrices $dist_0, dist_1, ..., dist_n$ initialized to ∞ For $(u, v) \in E, dist_0[u, v] \leftarrow \ell(u, v)$

 $// dist_0$ paths have no internal nodes.

```
For k = 1, ..., n:
```

For $u, v \in V$: $dist_{k}[u, v] \leftarrow \min\{dist_{k-1}[u, v], \\ dist_{k-1}[u, k] + dist_{k-1}[k, v]\}$

Longest Increasing Subsequences

Longest Increasing Subsequences (LIS)

To be consistent with the book, we aren't using 0-indexing for the input.

- <u>Input</u>: An array of *n* integers $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$
- <u>Output</u>: The length of the longest increasing subsequence of the input.

a = 5 2 8 6 3 6 9 7

"Subsequences" can be noncontiguous by definition.

Longest Increasing Subsequence

Why care about this problem?

- An important algorithmic preprocessing step.
- Useful for understanding random processes.
- →Shuffle cards and play the game of Solitaire (aka Patience Sorting), how many piles you need?
- →Computations over random graphs, networks, social media.

The recipe!

Step 1. Identify subproblems (aka optimal substructure)
Step 2. Find a recursive formulation for the subproblems
Step 3. Design the Dynamic Programming Algorithm
→ Memo-ize computation starting from smallest subproblems and building up.

Step 1: Subproblems of LIS

- <u>Input</u>: An array of *n* integers $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$
- <u>Output</u>: The length of the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) of the input.

Which of these two subproblems is more appropriate for designing a dynamic programming algorithm?

Discuss

1.
$$L[j] = len. of LIS in array $[a_1, ..., a_j]$, for $j = 1, ..., n$$$

2. $L[j] = len. of LIS in array [a_1, ..., a_j]$ that ends in a_j , for j = 1, ..., n

What makes for good subproblems?

- Not too many of them (the more subproblems the slower the DP algorithm)
- Must have enough information in it to compute subproblems recursively (needed for step 2).

Step 1: Subproblems of LIS

- <u>Input</u>: An array of *n* integers $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$
- <u>Output</u>: The length of the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) of the input.

Subproblems: L[j] = len. of LIS in array [a₁, ..., a_j] that ends in a_j, for j = 1, ..., n
→ Because, if we don't keep track of the last (largest) element of the LIS we don't know whether we can add a new element to the subsequence, recursively.
→ Think of the subproblem's stored info as the only thing you observe about smaller

Knowing only Len of LIS, we wouldn't know if we can add 7

len. of LIS = 4

instances!



Step 1: Subproblems of LIS

- <u>Input</u>: An array of *n* integers $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$
- <u>Output</u>: The length of the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) of the input.

Subproblems: L[j] = len. of LIS in array [a₁, ..., a_j] that ends in a_j, for j = 1, ..., n
→ Because, if we don't keep track of the last (largest) element of the LIS we don't know whether we can add a new element to the subsequence, recursively.
→ Think of the subproblem's stored info as the only thing you observe about smaller

Knowing only Len of LIS, we wouldn't know if we can add 7

len. of LIS = 4

instances!





Step 2: Recurrence of LIS subproblems

- <u>Input</u>: An array of *n* integers $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$
- <u>Output</u>: The length of the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) of the input.

Step 1: $L[j] = len. of LIS in array [a_1, ..., a_j]$ that ends in a_j

Step 2: Compute the recurrence: L[j] in terms of L[i] for i < j.

Case 1: $a[j] \leq a[i]$

Case 2: a[j] > a[i]

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} L[i] & a_i & a_j \\ \dots & 6 & 3 & 6 & 9 \\ \dots & 7 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Can't add a[j] to lengthen L[i]

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} L[i] & a_i & a_j \\ \dots & 6 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \dots & 7 & 2 & 5$$
$$L[j] = L[i] + 1$$

Step 2: Recurrence of LIS subproblems

To be consistent with the book, we aren't using 0-indexing for the input.

- <u>Input</u>: An array of *n* integers $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$
- <u>Output</u>: The length of the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) of the input.

Step 1: $L[j] = len. of LIS in array [a_1, ..., a_i]$ that ends in a_j

Discuss

Step 2: Compute the recurrence: L[j] in terms of L[i] for i < j.

- <u>Input</u>: An array of *n* integers $a = [a_1, ..., a_n]$
- <u>Output</u>: The length of the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) of the input.

Subproblems: $L[j] = len. of LIS in array [a_1, ..., a_j]$ that ends in a_j

Runtime: O(n) subproblems

For each subproblem, we look at at most n smaller subproblems. $\rightarrow O(n)$ time per subproblem.

Total: $O(n^2)$ runtime.

 $LIS(a_{1}, ..., a_{n})$ array *L* of length *n* for *j* = 1, ..., *n* If exists *i* < *j*, s.t., *a_i* < *a_j* $L[j] \leftarrow 1 + \max_{i < j} \{ L[i] \mid a_{i} < a_{j} \}$ Else $L[j] \leftarrow 1$ return $max_{i} L[i]$

To be consistent with the book, we

Edit Distance

Computing the Edit Distance

<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

Edits allowed:

- 1. Insert a character into *S*
- 2. Delete character from *S*
- 3. Change one character to another character.

Example:

What's the edit distance between S = "SNOWY" and T = "SUNNY"?

 S
 N
 O
 W
 Y

 Add U
 V
 V
 V

 S
 U
 N
 O
 W
 Y

 Change O to V
 V
 N
 N
 Y

 S
 U
 N
 N
 Y

 S
 U
 N
 N
 Y

Applications of Edit Distance

- Auto correct!
- Word suggestions in search engines
- DNA analysis of similarities.

Edit Distance and Cost of Alignment

<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

Edit Distance is the minimal cost of alignment between two strings.

 \rightarrow An alignment: line up two words. Cost of an alignment = # columns that don't match



Step 1: Subproblems of Edit Distance

<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

What makes for good subproblems?

- Not too many of them (the more subproblems the slower the DP algorithm)
- Must have enough information in it to compute subproblems recursively (needed for step 2).

Subproblems: for all $0 \le i \le m$ and $0 \le j \le n$

E(i,j) = EditDist(S[1 ... i], T[1 ... j])

Cost of optimal alignment between *S*[1 ... *i*], *T*[1 ... *j*]

Step 2: Recurrence Relation of Edit Distance

<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

Step 1: E(i, j) = EditDist(S[1 ... i], T[1 ... j]), for all $0 \le i \le m$ and $0 \le j \le n$



Step 2: Recurrence Relation of Edit Distance

<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

Step 1: E(i, j) = EditDist(S[1 ... i], T[1 ... j]), for all $0 \le i \le m$ and $0 \le j \le n$

Step 2: The recurrence relation

 $E(i,j) = \min \{E(i-1,j) + 1, E(i,j-1) + 1, E(i-1,j-1) + 1(S[i] \neq T[j])\}$

Base case: $E(\mathbf{i}, 0) = \mathbf{i}$ and $E(0, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{j}$

<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

How do we memo-ize the subproblems in this recurrence relation?

 $E(i, j) = \min \{E(i - 1, j) + 1, E(i, j - 1) + 1, E(i - 1, j - 1) + 1(S[i] \neq T[j])\}$ Base case: E(i, 0) = i and E(0, j) = j



<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

How do we memo-ize the subproblems in this recurrence relation?

 $E(i, j) = \min \{E(i - 1, j) + 1, E(i, j - 1) + 1, E(i - 1, j - 1) + 1(S[i] \neq T[j])\}$ Base case: E(i, 0) = i and E(0, j) = j



<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

How do we memo-ize the subproblems in this recurrence relation?

 $E(i, j) = \min \{E(i - 1, j) + 1, E(i, j - 1) + 1, E(i - 1, j - 1) + 1(S[i] \neq T[j])\}$ Base case: E(i, 0) = i and E(0, j) = j



<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

How do we memo-ize the subproblems in this recurrence relation? $E(i,j) = \min \{E(i-1,j) + 1, E(i,j-1) + 1, E(i-1,j-1) + 1(S[i] \neq T[j])\}$

Base case: $E(\mathbf{i}, 0) = \mathbf{i}$ and $E(0, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{j}$



Runtime of this algorithm

<u>Input</u>: Two strings $S[1 \dots m]$ and $T[1 \dots n]$

<u>Output</u>: Compute the smallest number of edits to turn *S* into *T*.

O(mn) number of subproblems.

For each subproblem, we take minimum of 3 values. \rightarrow Work per subproblem O(1)

Total runtime: O(mn).

Edit-Distance($S[1 \dots m], T[1 \dots n]$) $(m+1) \times (n+1)$ array E For i = 0, 1, ..., m, E[i, 0] = iFor j = 0, 1, ..., n, E[0, j] = jFor i = 1, ..., mFor j = 1, ..., n $E(i, j) \leftarrow \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E(i - 1, j) + 1, \\ E(i, j - 1) + 1, \\ E(i - 1, j - 1) + 1(S[i] \neq T[j]) \end{array} \right\}$

return E(*m*, *n*)

Wrap up

More examples of dynamic programming.

- Longest increasing subsequence
- Edit distance
- Knapsack (with repetition)

 \rightarrow Also got more experience on how to choose subproblems.

Next time: More examples of DP Knapsack and other graph problems