1 Shortest Paths with Dynamic Programming!

In this problem we will design a dynamic programming algorithm for finding the shortest $S - E$ path in a DAG like the one above.

(a) What are the subproblems you need to solve?

(b) Can you define the optimal solution to any subproblem as a function of the solutions to other subproblems?

(c) The dependency graph of a DP is a directed graph in which each subproblem becomes a vertex, and edge $(u, v)$ denotes that subproblem $u$ requires the solution to subproblem $v$ in order to be solved. What does the dependency graph look like for this problem? What property of the dependency graph allows us to solve the problem using DP?

(d) If we proceed iteratively, what would be the best order to solve these subproblems?

(e) How many subproblems are there, and how long does it take to solve each? What is the overall running time of this algorithm?

(f) Run your algorithm on the example graph. What is the shortest path?

Solution:

(a) We need to know the minimum length paths from the start node to the nodes on possible paths from $S$ to $E$. This will allow us to evaluate which nodes we should include on our path.

(b) Yes. We know that out of all direct ancestors $A_i$ of $E$, at least one of them must be on the shortest path from $S$ to $E$. Let $dist(V)$ denote the subproblem of finding the shortest path from $S$ to some vertex $V$. For any $U$ in the graph, we can argue that shortest distance from $S$ to $U$ is $\min\{dist(A_1) + l(A_1, U), ..., dist(A_N) + l(A_N, U)\}$.

(c) Let’s think about the dependency graph this gives us. We know $dist(V)$ is dependent on the $dist(A_i)$ for all direct ancestors of $V$. Thus the dependency graph will look like the reverse of the given graph! And since our given graph is a DAG, we know the dependency graph will also be a DAG. The fact that the dependency graph is a DAG is quite significant. By construction the dependency graph is directed. But more importantly, if the dependency graph is acyclic, we know that we don’t have some set
of subproblems that are all mutually dependent on each other (and thus impossible to solve). When the dependency graph of a problem is a DAG, we sometimes say that this problem possesses an "optimal substructure" that makes it solvable via DP.

(d) When we get to a subproblem, we want to have computed the \( dist \) value for all its direct ancestors. Thus it makes the most sense to solve subproblems in topological order, starting from \( S \), since all direct ancestors of a vertex must come before it in topological order.

(e) There are \(|V|\) subproblems total. This gives us \(|V|\) work to look at each subproblem once. Then, for each subproblem, we need to iterate through all the ancestors of the given vertex (this is precisely equal to the vertex’s in-degree). In any directed graph, the sum of the indegrees of each node is precisely equal to the sum of outdegrees, which is precisely equal to \(|E|\) (since each edge contributes to the indegree of exactly one node, and the outdegree of exactly one node).

(f) SCDE

2 Copper Pipes

Bubbles has a copper pipe of length \( n \) inches and an array of nonnegative integers that contains prices of all pieces of size smaller than \( n \). He wants to find the maximum value he can make by cutting up the pipe and selling the pieces. For example, if length of the pipe is \( 8 \) and the values of different pieces are given as following, then the maximum obtainable value is 22 (by cutting in two pieces of lengths 2 and 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>length</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Give a dynamic programming algorithm so Bubbles can find the maximum obtainable value given any pipe length and set of prices. Clearly describe your algorithm, prove its correctness and runtime.

**Solution:** Main idea: We create a recursive formula, where for each subproblem of length \( k \) we choose the cut-length \( i \) such that \( Price(i) + Value(k - i) \) is maximized. Here \( Price(i) \) is the price of selling the full pipe of length \( i \) and \( Value(k - i) \) is the amount obtained after optimally cutting the pipe of length \( k - i \).

```python
def cutPipe(price, n):
    val = [0 for x in range(n+1)]
    val[0] = 0

    # Build the table val[] in bottom up manner and return
    # the last entry from the table
    for i from 1 to n:
        max_val = 0
        for j from 0 to i-1:
            max_val = max(max_val, price[j] + val[i-j-1])
```
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val[i] = max_val

return val[n]

Proof: An inductive proof on the length of the pipe will show that our solution is correct. We let cutPipe(n) represent the optimal solution for a pipe of length n. Base case: If the pipe is length 1, cutPipe(1) = Price(1) = Val(1). Inductive: Assume the optimal price is found for all pipes of length less than or equal to k. If the first cut the algorithm makes x1 is not optimal, then there is an x′ 1 such that Val((k + 1) − x1) + Price(x1) < Val((k + 1) − x′ 1) + Price(x′ 1). By the induction hypothesis, this implies that cutPipe((k + 1) − x1) + Price(x1) < cutPipe((k + 1) − x′ 1) + Price(x′ 1). So the algorithm must have chosen x′ 1 instead of x1, by construction (a contradiction). Therefore, by induction cutPipe(n) = Val(n) for all n > 0.

Run-time: The algorithm contains two nested for-loops resulting in a run-time of O(n^2).

3 String Shuffling

Let x, y, and z be strings. We want to know if z can be obtained only from x and y by interleaving the characters from x and y such that the characters in x appear in order and the characters in y appear in order. For example, if x = efficient and y = ALGORITHM, then it is true for z = effALGIO Ri lenTH Mt, but false for z = efficient ALGORITHM S (extra characters), z = effALGORITHM Mi ci en (missing the final t), and z = effOALG Rii cie lT H Mt (out of order). How can we answer this query efficiently? Your answer must be able to efficiently deal with strings with lots of overlap, such as x = aaaaaaaaaab and y = aaaaaaaaaac.

1. Design an efficient algorithm to solve the above problem and state its runtime.

2. Consider an iterative implementation of our DP algorithm in part (a). Naively if we want to keep track of every solved sub-problem, this requires O(|x||y|) space (double check to see if you understand why this is the case). How can we reduce the amount of space our algorithm uses?

Solution:

1. First, we note that we must have |z| = |x| + |y|, so we can assume this. Let S(i, j) be true if and only if the first i characters of x and the first j characters of y can be interleaved to make the first i + j characters of z. Then x and y can be interleaved to make z if and only if S(|x|, |y|) is true.

For the recurrence, if S(i, j) is true then either zi+j = xi, zi+j = yj, or both. In the first case it must be that the first i − 1 characters of x and the first j characters of y can be interleaved to make the first i + j − 1 characters of z; that is, S(i − 1, j) must be true. In the second case S(i, j − 1) must be true. In the third case we can have either S(i − 1, j) or S(i, j − 1) or both being true. This yields the recurrence:

\[ S(i, j) = (S(i − 1, j) ∧ (x_i = z_{i+j})) ∨ (S(i, j − 1) ∧ (y_j = z_{i+j})) \]

The base case is S(0, 0) = T; we also set S(0, −1) = S(−1, 0) = F for convenience. The running time is O(|x||y|).
Somewhat naively if we’d like an iterative solution, we can keep track of the solutions to all subproblems with a 2D array where the entry at row $i$, column $j$ is $S(i, j)$. If we iterate over this array row by row, going left to right, we’ll always be able to fill in the next entry using values we’ve already computed.

Notice, however, that to compute any entry, we only really need the information in the previous row, and the current row we’re filling out. Thus, rather than holding onto the entire table, we only need to store the current and previous row, reducing us from $O(m \times n)$ space to $O(m)$ space.

2. We can keep track of the solutions to all subproblems with a 2D array of size $|x| \times |y|$ where the entry at row $i$, column $j$ is $S(i, j)$. If we iterate over this array row by row, going left to right, we’ll always be able to fill in the next entry using values we’ve already computed.

Notice, however, that to compute any entry, we only really need the information in the previous row, and the current row we’re filling out. Thus, rather than holding onto the entire table, we only need to store the current and previous row, reducing from $O(|x| \times |y|)$ space to $O(\min(|x|, |y|))$ space.